

Update 2

June 27, 2024

Note on requirements.

The requirements identified below are not mandatory. We do not anticipate that every system will meet all requirements which is why most of our requirements ARE NOT mandatory.

In the example of authentication for a public person. If your system does not require any authentication, this is completely fine. If it does require authentication, then we wish to make sure that authentication complies with our standards. The requirement in this example is a highly desirable requirement IF it applies. If it does not apply, then you would fill out the table as follows:

Requirement	Requirement Level	"Ability to Meet Requirement 4 = Out of box 3 = Meets with configuration 2 = Meets via upcoming release <1yr 1 = requires customization to meet 0 = Can't Meet "	Proposer Response To Requirement
My requirement	HD	0	Not Applicable

Answering that a requirement does not apply does not necessarily effect the evaluation of the software.

In this particular case, we might agree that authentication is not required based on the functionality of the application that is being presented.

Questions

Section 6.1 item #31: "If accounts are offered as part of the product for the public, then the account systems shall integrate with OKTA." Public facing dashboards in our system do not require logging in. Responding 0,1,2,3,4 doesn't make sense, since it's not applicable.

A: If the requirement does not apply to your system, then state this in your response. This requirement exists only if your system has the functionality that requires public authentication.

As far as a response, if it is not applicable, please put in a 0 – Cannot meet (See explanation above)

In section 6.10, one requirement is "Within 24 months of Microsoft 's new software release, proposer must support most current version of Microsoft applications." This is not applicable to a SaaS Cloud service, so not sure how to respond.

A: This appears to be Requirement 6.10.3. If your system does not require Microsoft products to function, then the requirement level would be 0 and you should put "Not Applicable" or some other statement that this requirement does not apply to your system in the "Proposer Response to Requirement" section.

The team noticed that the Excel requirements doc, the first tab says this is for "Skagit County Requirements Permit System" but this isnt for a permitting system, so we are wondering if some of these requirements were unintentionally included from a prior, unrelated RFP?

A: This was a mistake, it should read "Financial Published Data System" We have updated the requirements spread sheet and published to the web site. We do not believe that any of the requirements listed in the RFP are unintentional.